ANEXO III

Deliverable (Title):	D2.1. Detailed baseline of occupational profiles	Date:	23/09/2022
Work package:	Priorities and strategy design		
External evaluator (Name):	Luciano Mateos		

1. Please provide a general evaluation of the deliverable in terms of:

a) structure and content	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:

The structure is simple and adequate, with the outputs well organized in an Annex and the methodology and process clearly explained in the core text. The mind mapping approach adopted as methodology seems adequate, with details in Annex 4.

b) length	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:

The length is adequate. The core of the text is short, well justified since the outputs are in an Annex.

c) format	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
The format is adequate. The compilation of the occupational profiles in forms with a common structure facilitates targeted reading.

d) English language use	**Score**: 100/100

Comments:
English grammar and stile are good.

2. Please evaluate the overall quality of the deliverable in terms of:

a) relevance (e.g., does the information address all key issues compared to the objectives of the project?)	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
The deliverable is finalist, presenting the most specific results (occupational profiles) addressing the objectives of the project.

b) comprehensiveness (e.g., is there any missing information?)	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
The deliverable is comprehensive. The selection and grouping of occupational profiles to be developed is clear and well justified. The detailed description of the occupational profiles in an annex is complete.

c) reliability (e.g., is the information based on literature/field research?)	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
The information is based on previous work in the project (WP1) and participatory research through working groups. This methodology ensures reliability.

d) usefulness (e.g., are the outcomes/proposals applicable?)	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
The outcome is very useful. It comprised the specific outputs the one should expect from the project. The way they are presented also ensure applicability, in the sense that decision makers will be able to take the selected occupational profiles for future plans.

3. a) Has Sustainability domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? *only for Sustainability External Expert*	**Score**: 100/100

Comments:
Yes, sustainability is adequately covered in the deliverable. There are occupational profiles addressing specifically sustainability, while areas like forestry also have occupational profiles focusing on sustainability.

b) Has Digitalization domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? *only for Digitalization External Expert*	**Score**: /100

Comments:

c) Has Bio-economy & Forestry domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? *only for Bio-economy & Forestry External Expert*	**Score**: /100

Comments:

4. **Have the opinions of all responsible stakeholders been adequately reflected on the deliverable?**	**Score**: 100/100

Comments:
I believe so. The methodology based on working groups ensures participation of all relevant stakeholders.

5. **Has the methodology of the deliverable been described in a clear and adequate manner?**	**Score**: /100

Comments:
Yes, methodology is adequately described

6. Have the conclusions been clearly supported by the evidence presented in the deliverable?	**Score**: /100
Comments: The deliverable does not contain a section with conclusions, but I think such section is not necessary.	

7. Are the recommendations of the deliverable relevant, feasible, and/or useful?	**Score**: 100/100
Comments: The deliverable does not contain a section with recommendations, although the occupational profiles can be taken as recommendations. In that sense, they are relevant	

Overall satisfaction about the deliverable:	**Overall Score**: 100/100
Comments: Very relevant work.	

Date of external evaluation review:	23/09/2022
Signature/Name:	

Maximum number of points for a criterion	Range of scores			
	Very good	**Good**	**Fair**	**Weak**
100	76-100	51-75	26-50	0-25

*Please check the grades' table at the end of this file. Reviewers' comments must be accurate, comprehensive, and fully articulated.