ANEXO III

Deliverable (Title):		D7.1. Dissemination plan	Date:	04/10/2022
Work package:	Dissemination and communication			
External evaluator (Name):	Luciano Mateos			

1. Please provide a general evaluation of the deliverable in terms of:*

a) structure and content	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:

The dissemination plan is very comprehensive, well conceived, covering all target sectors and using appropriate and current dissemination media. the structure of the deliverable is good and reads well. An important part of what it proposes has already been implemented and documented in the form of a deliverable evaluated by this reviewer.

b) length	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:

The deliverable is long, but its length is justified in order to present the different aspects of the dissemination plan.

c) format	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
The deliverable is well structured. The structure is logical, the tables, appendices and figures contribute to a smooth reading and logical path.

d) **English language use**	**Score**: 100/100

Comments:
Good

2. Please evaluate the overall quality of the deliverable in terms of:

a) relevance (e.g., does the information address all key issues compared to the objectives of the project?)	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
The deliverable presents a fairly standard Dissemination Plan for projects. The importance of these plans does not derive from how they meet project objectives, but how they help reaching the target groups.

b) comprehensiveness (e.g., is there any missing information?)	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
The Dissemination Plan is very comprehensive.

c) reliability (e.g., is the information based on literature/field research?)	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
The already reported outcomes of the project as deliverables that have been evaluated by this reviewer demonstrate that, al least this part of the dissemination Plan, was reliable. Because the nature of this deliverable, it does not proceed evaluating whether its information is based on literature/field research or not.

d) usefulness (e.g., are the outcomes/proposals applicable?)	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
A Dissemination Plan is a must in a project of this type.

3. a) Has Sustainability domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? *only for Sustainability External Expert*	**Score**: 95/100

Comments: The deliverable does not address (and it should not be expected to address) sustainability.	
b) Has Digitalization domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? *only for Digitalization External Expert*	**Score**: /100
Comments:	
c) Has Bio-economy & Forestry domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? *only for Bio-economy & Forestry External Expert*	**Score**: /100
Comments:	
4. **Have the opinions of all responsible stakeholders been adequately reflected on the deliverable?**	**Score**: 95/100
Comments: The Dissemination Plan seems to be formulated by a limited number of persons. Therefore, it is not clear that stakeholders were involved. However, its main goal is that the project results reach all stakeholders and other target groups.	
5. **Has the methodology of the deliverable been described in a clear and adequate manner?**	**Score**: 95/100

Comments: This question does not apply to this deliverable.	

6. Have the conclusions been clearly supported by the evidence presented in the deliverable?	**Score**: 90/100
Comments: This question does not apply to this deliverable. However, a conclusion reporting on how the dissemination plan is working would have been very useful.	

7. Are the recommendations of the deliverable relevant, feasible, and/or useful?	**Score**: 90/100
Comments: This question does not apply to this deliverable. However, recommendations derived from how the dissemination plan is working would have been very useful.	

Overall satisfaction about the deliverable:	**Overall Score**: 95/100
Comments: Very good	

Date of external evaluation review:	04/10/2022
Signature/Name: Luciano Mateos	

Maximum number of points for a criterion	Range of scores			
	Very good	**Good**	**Fair**	**Weak**
100	76-100	51-75	26-50	0-25

*Please check the grades' table at the end of this file. Reviewers' comments must be accurate, comprehensive, and fully articulated.