

Deliverable (Title):	D2.1: List of occupational profiles	Date:	24/08/2022
Work package:	Priorities and strategy design		
External evaluator (Name):	Dimitrios Vlachos		
1. Please provide a general evaluation of the deliverable in terms of:*			
a) structure and content		Score: 90/100	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The document is very well structured and the information related to occupational profiles in the fields of agriculture, food industry and forestry is well organised. Annexes are very comprehensive and descriptive providing a clear outline. 			
b) length		Score: 90/100	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The length of the document is reasonable and provides all the necessary information for occupational profiles in the fields of agriculture, food industry and forestry. ➤ Annex Section seems to be very long; however, it includes all the necessary information. 			
c) format		Score: 95/100	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The format is sufficient. ➤ It will be quite useful the creation of a glossary of acronyms. 			
d) English language use		Score: 90/100	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ In terms of linguistically, there is no need for improvements. The quality of English is sufficient. 			

*Please check the grades' table at the end of this file. Reviewers' comments must be accurate, comprehensive, and fully articulated.

2. Please evaluate the overall quality of the deliverable in terms of:	
a) relevance (e.g., does the information address all key issues compared to the objectives of the project?)	Score: 90/100
<p>➤ The information delivered is very comprehensive and provides all the necessary information for occupational profiles in the fields of agriculture, food industry and forestry.</p>	
b) comprehensiveness (e.g., is there any missing information?)	Score: 95/100
<p>➤ No missing information detected.</p> <p>➤ EQF Level 5 Occupational Profiles (technician) in the fields of agricultural and food-industry digitalization and EQF Level 4 Occupational Profiles (operator) for Digitalisation in agriculture, food industry and forestry are fully presented.</p>	
c) reliability (e.g., is the information based on literature/field research?)	Score: 95/100
<p>➤ The content is sufficient and reliable. The objective of the deliverable, that deals with the identification of future skill and knowledge needs, the existing training in response to those needs, and the identification of gaps, is fully achieved.</p>	
d) usefulness (e.g., are the outcomes/proposals applicable?)	Score: 95/100

*Please check the grades' table at the end of this file. Reviewers' comments must be accurate, comprehensive, and fully articulated.

<p>➤ The outcomes are fully applicable.</p>	
<p>3. a) Has Sustainability domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? <i>*only for Sustainability External Expert</i></p>	<p>Score: /100</p>
<p>Comments:</p>	
<p>b) Has Digitalization domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? <i>*only for Digitalization External Expert</i></p>	<p>Score: 90/100</p>
<p>➤ Occupational profiles in the fields of digitization, agriculture and food industry are fully examined and contain sufficient information for essential and optional skills and knowledge.</p> <p>➤ Regarding the EQF Level 5 Occupational Profiles, in the area of digitalization, two profiles are presented: Technician for agricultural digitalization and Technician for food-industry digitalization. In EQF Level 4 Occupational Profiles, the profile of operator for digitalization in agriculture, food industry and forestry is presented. The above approach provides the necessary framework for Occupational Profiles related to digitalization.</p>	
<p>c) Has Bio-economy & Forestry domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? <i>*only for Bio-economy & Forestry External Expert</i></p>	<p>Score: /100</p>
<p>Comments:</p>	

4. Have the opinions of all responsible stakeholders been adequately reflected on the deliverable?	Score: 90/100
<p>➤ All responsible stakeholders been adequately reflected on this deliverable through their participation in other project's tasks, that their outcomes were used for the development of the occupational profiles.</p>	
5. Has the methodology of the deliverable been described in a clear and adequate manner?	Score: 85/100
<p>➤ The proposed methodology is clearly described in an adequate manner in Chapter 3 "Methodology".</p>	
6. Have the conclusions been clearly supported by the evidence presented in the deliverable?	Score: /100
<p>N/A</p>	
7. Are the recommendations of the deliverable relevant, feasible, and/or useful?	Score: 90/100

*Please check the grades' table at the end of this file. Reviewers' comments must be accurate, comprehensive, and fully articulated.

- Deliverable's recommendations, that include information on the occupational profiles, are relevant and well presented.

Overall satisfaction about the deliverable:

Overall Score: 90/100

Very good!

The content is presented simply and comprehensibly.

Date of external evaluation review:

24/08/2022

Signature/Name: Dimitrios Vlachos

Maximum number of points for a criterion	Range of scores			
	Very good	Good	Fair	Weak
100	76-100	51-75	26-50	0-25

*Please check the grades' table at the end of this file. Reviewers' comments must be accurate, comprehensive, and fully articulated.