

Deliverable (Title):	D2.2: Prioritized occupational profiles	Date:	25/08/2022
Work package:	Priorities and strategy design		
External evaluator (Name):	Dimitrios Vlachos		
1. Please provide a general evaluation of the deliverable in terms of:*			
a) structure and content		Score: 80/100	
The methodology for ranking the skills and knowledge is sufficiently described. Tables of occupational profiles are provided; however a preliminary interpretation and analysis of the results could have been also provided.			
b) length		Score: 80/100	
The length of the task is generally appropriate. The final remarks section should have included more information about the results.			
c) format		Score: 80/100	
The content is provided mainly in tables. Additional textual information could enable a better interpretation of the analysis and the enrichment of the format.			
d) English language use		Score: 85/100	
Sufficient use of the English language.			

*Please check the grades' table at the end of this file. Reviewers' comments must be accurate, comprehensive, and fully articulated.

2. Please evaluate the overall quality of the deliverable in terms of:	
a) relevance (e.g., does the information address all key issues compared to the objectives of the project?)	Score: 85/100
The deliverable provides tables with the occupational profiles, standardized for transferability. The information addresses the objectives of the project in terms of prioritizing the profiles.	
b) comprehensiveness (e.g., is there any missing information?)	Score: 80/100
The information provided is comprehensive. A summary of the key results of the deliverable could be provided in the final remarks section.	
c) reliability (e.g., is the information based on literature/field research?)	Score: 80/100
The methodology could be supported by references. Preliminary analysis of the results and correlation with the literature would contribute to the reliability of the information.	
d) usefulness (e.g., are the outcomes/proposals applicable?)	Score: 90/100
The information provided in the deliverable is valuable and useful and will be utilized in the upcoming tasks of the project. The outcomes could be collected in graphs or short paragraphs to enhance their applicability in reports and policies.	

*Please check the grades' table at the end of this file. Reviewers' comments must be accurate, comprehensive, and fully articulated.

<p>3. a) Has Sustainability domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? <i>*only for Sustainability External Expert</i></p>	<p>Score: /100</p>
<p>Comments:</p>	
<p>b) Has Digitalization domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? <i>*only for Digitalization External Expert</i></p>	<p>Score: 80/100</p>
<p>The field of digitalization has been sufficiently covered in the deliverable and in particular in the profiles. A summary on the digital and soft skills could be useful for the interpretation of the information provided.</p>	
<p>c) Has Bio-economy & Forestry domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? <i>*only for Bio-economy & Forestry External Expert</i></p>	<p>Score: /100</p>
<p>Comments:</p>	
<p>4. Have the opinions of all responsible stakeholders been adequately reflected on the deliverable?</p>	<p>Score: 85/100</p>

*Please check the grades' table at the end of this file. Reviewers' comments must be accurate, comprehensive, and fully articulated.

Based on the information provided, the opinion of the stakeholders has been adequately considered in the deliverable.

5. Has the methodology of the deliverable been described in a clear and adequate manner?

Score: 85/100

The methodology is sufficiently and comprehensively described in the document.

6. Have the conclusions been clearly supported by the evidence presented in the deliverable?

Score: /100

No final considerations are provided in the document.

7. Are the recommendations of the deliverable relevant, feasible, and/or useful?

Score: 90/100

The recommendations based on the ranking of the profiles are efficiently provided and can be used for the implementation of the training modules as well as for other future tasks of the project.

Overall satisfaction about the deliverable:	Overall Score: 80/100
Generally, the document provides sufficient information about the task of prioritizing the occupational profiles.	
Date of external evaluation review:	25/08/2022
Signature/Name: Dimitrios Vlachos	

Maximum number of points for a criterion	Range of scores			
	Very good	Good	Fair	Weak
100	76-100	51-75	26-50	0-25

*Please check the grades' table at the end of this file. Reviewers' comments must be accurate, comprehensive, and fully articulated.