

ANEXO III

Deliverable (Title):	D1.7. Survey Analysis	Date:	28/06/2022
Work package:	Skills Needs Identification		
External evaluator (Name):	Luciano Mateos		
1. Please provide a general evaluation of the deliverable in terms of:*			
a) structure and content		Score: 90/100	
Comments: Well structured. Substantial content, which complements D1.5 and partially corrects its drawbacks. The survey is extensive and covers all key issues. The provision of the primary data will allow further analysis. The stratified analysis gives good insight of the identified skills, training needs and business trends.			
b) length		Score: 100/100	
Comments: The length is adequate since most of the raw data are in Annexes.			
c) format		Score: 100/100	
Comments: The format is good. The presentation of the results in graphs facilitates reading greatly.			
d) English language use		Score: 95/100	
Comments: Overall good.			

2. Please evaluate the overall quality of the deliverable in terms of:	
a) relevance (e.g., does the information address all key issues compared to the objectives of the project?)	Score: 90/100
Comments: The deliverable addresses all key issues in the objectives of the project.	
b) comprehensiveness (e.g., is there any missing information?)	Score: 90/100
Comments: The deliverable is comprehensive since it presents in Annex the detailed results of the survey.	
c) reliability (e.g., is the information based on literature/field research?)	Score: 85/100
Comments: The results sound reliable. The presentation of means seems sufficient to me, although statistical analysis could strengthen comparisons.	
d) usefulness (e.g., are the outcomes/proposals applicable?)	Score: 90/100
Comments: The survey provides a comprehensive view useful to identify both current and future skills requirements, training needs and business trends.	
3. a) Has Sustainability domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? <i>*only for Sustainability External Expert</i>	Score: 90/100

<p>Comments: Yes. The deliverable presents results of a web-based questionnaire where sustainability is addressed adequately.</p>	
<p>b) Has Digitalization domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? <i>*only for Digitalization External Expert</i></p>	<p>Score: 90/100</p>
<p>Comments: Yes. The deliverable presents results of a web-based questionnaire where digitalization is addressed adequately.</p>	
<p>c) Has Bio-economy & Forestry domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? <i>*only for Bio-economy & Forestry External Expert</i></p>	<p>Score: 90/100</p>
<p>Comments: Yes. The deliverable presents results of a web-based questionnaire where bio economy is addressed adequately.</p>	
<p>4. Have the opinions of all responsible stakeholders been adequately reflected on the deliverable?</p>	<p>Score: 100/100</p>
<p>Comments: The web-based survey had 517 respondents relatively well balanced in terms of age, gender, country of origin, area of operation and job profile. I believe this ensures that the opinions of all responsible stakeholders has been adequately reflected on the deliverable.</p>	
<p>5. Has the methodology of the deliverable been described in a clear and adequate manner?</p>	<p>Score: 90/100</p>

<p>Comments: The methodology is described adequately. The sample size and characteristics is well described. Statistical analysis of comparisons is missing, although I am not sure it is necessary.</p>	
<p>6. Have the conclusions been clearly supported by the evidence presented in the deliverable?</p>	<p>Score: 90/100</p>
<p>Comments: The conclusions are supported by the results presented in the deliverable. One important conclusion is that the web-survey results are coherent with the focus group results presented in D1.5.</p>	
<p>7. Are the recommendations of the deliverable relevant, feasible, and/or useful?</p>	<p>Score: /100</p>
<p>Comments: There are no specific recommendations in the document; however, do not think they would be pertinent in this deliverable.</p>	
<p>Overall satisfaction about the deliverable:</p>	<p>Overall Score: 90/100</p>
<p>Comments: Extensive work. Useful as baseline.</p>	
<p>Date of external evaluation review:</p>	<p>28/06/2022</p>
<p>Signature/Name:</p>	

Maximum number of points for a criterion	Range of scores			
	Very good	Good	Fair	Weak
100	76-100	51-75	26-50	0-25

*Please check the grades' table at the end of this file. Reviewers' comments must be accurate, comprehensive, and fully articulated.