ANEXO III

Deliverable (Title):	D.2.3. European strategy on agri-food-forestry skills	Date:	23/09/2022
Work package:	WP2 Priorities and Strategy Design		
External evaluator (Name):	Luciano Mateos		

1. Please provide a general evaluation of the deliverable in terms of:*

a) structure and content	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:

The document is well structured and is easy to read.

b) length	**Score**: 100/100

Comments:

The document is long. However, its length is needed to properly describe the methodology and principles of the strategy.

c) format	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
The format is appropriate for the purpose. 8 topics are rightly selected and developed with detail, explaining the basis and structuring the inputs from the survey respondents.

d) English language use	**Score**: 100/100

Comments:
Good.

2. Please evaluate the overall quality of the deliverable in terms of:

	Score: 95/100
a) relevance (e.g., does the information address all key issues compared to the objectives of the project?)	

Comments:
The relevance of the deliverable is in line with the relevance of the task. The final contribution of the project should be a European strategy on skills.

	Score: 95/100
b) comprehensiveness (e.g., is there any missing information?)	

Comments:
I think the document is rather comprehensive. I cannot think about any relevant information that it is missing.

	Score: 95/100
c) reliability (e.g., is the information based on literature/field research?)	

Comments:
The information is based on deliverables 2.1 and 2.2 and on a survey which questionnaire was responded by 25 out of the 30 partners of the project, thus I think the results are reliable.

	Score: 90/100
d) usefulness (e.g., are the outcomes/proposals applicable?)	

Comments:
A strategy on agri-food-forestry skills is highly relevant.

	Score: 90/100
3. a) Has Sustainability domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? *only for Sustainability External Expert*	

Comments: Sustainability is covered implicitly.	
b) Has Digitalization domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? *only for Digitalization External Expert*	**Score**: /100
Comments:	
c) Has Bio-economy & Forestry domain of the project been adequately covered in the deliverable? *only for Bio-economy & Forestry External Expert*	**Score**: /100
Comments:	
4. **Have the opinions of all responsible stakeholders been adequately reflected on the deliverable?**	**Score**: 95/100
Comments: The survey source of the strategy was responded by 25 out of the 30 partners of the project, thus I think the document reflects the opinion of most stakeholders.	
5. **Has the methodology of the deliverable been described in a clear and adequate manner?**	**Score**: 100/100

Comments:
I think the survey, the questionnaire and the number of respondents are clearly described in the document and its annex.

6. Have the conclusions been clearly supported by the evidence presented in the deliverable?	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
I think the conclusions, summarising the principles of the strategy and the path forward, are clear and comprehensive.

7. Are the recommendations of the deliverable relevant, feasible, and/or useful?	**Score**: 95/100

Comments:
Yes, they are, since they contain the principles for the European strategy.

Overall satisfaction about the deliverable:	**Overall Score**: 95/100

Comments:
Very good.

Date of external evaluation review:	23/09/2022
Signature/Name: Luciano Mateos	

Maximum number of points for a criterion	Range of scores			
	Very good	Good	Fair	Weak
100	76-100	51-75	26-50	0-25

*Please check the grades' table at the end of this file. Reviewers' comments must be accurate, comprehensive, and fully articulated.